## Problems

- 1. The reaction  $C(^{3}P) + C_{2}H_{2}$  at low collision energies proceeds via an addition/elimination mechanism whereby formation of an intermediate,  $C_{3}H_{2}$ , which lives many (>10) rotational periods, is followed by dissociation to  $C_{3}H + H$  products. Knowing also that the  $C_{3}H$  radical product is highly rotationally excited, how do you expect the angular distribution of the  $C_{3}H$ product to look like in the CM reference frame?
- 2. At low collision energies the reaction  $O({}^{3}P) + H_{2}S \rightarrow HSO + H$  proceeds via a direct mechanism on the triplet potential energy surface, while the corresponding reaction of excited oxygen atoms  $O({}^{1}D) + H_{2}S \rightarrow HSO + H$  proceeds via a long-lived complex thyoperoxide HSOH complex on the singlet potential energy surface. How do you expect the angular distribution of the HSO product in the CM frame of reference to look like in the two cases?
- 3. With reference to the reaction  $O(^{1}D) + H_{2}S \rightarrow HSO + H$ , which experiments would you perform to estimate the lifetime of the HSOH complex within the *osculating model* for chemical reaction? Knowing that at a given collision energy the average lifetime of the HSOH complex is equal to its rotational period, what will be the ratio of the backward to forward intensity of the HSO product CM angular distribution?
- The figures below show the KI product flux contour plots in the centre-of-mass (CM) frame for the K + I<sub>2</sub> (left) and K + CH<sub>3</sub>I (right) reactions.



Explain how data like these might be obtained.

What can be learnt about the dynamics of the two reactions from these figures? (The outer rings of dots show the maximum CM velocities of KI in the two reactions.)

Contrast the scattering behaviour observed for the above two reactions with that found for the reaction  $S(^{1}D) + H_{2} \rightarrow SH + H$ , the differential cross section for which is shown below [adapted from E. J. Rackham, T. Gonzalez-Lezana and D. E. Manolopoulos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **119**, 12895].



5. As we have seen in this Chapter, OH in its ground electronic state undergoes the reaction

$$OH + D_2 \rightarrow HOD + D$$
,

which is isoelectronic with the  $F + H_2$  reaction. Figure 6.24 shows plots of the D-atom product flux in the centre-of-mass frame for the above reaction. The experiments were performed under crossed molecular beam conditions, with the D-atom products detected by the H(D)-atom Rydberg tagging method. The dashed rings are labelled according to the number of quanta *m* in the bending mode, and *n* in the OD stretching mode of HOD (*m*, *n*).

- (a) What does the above figure suggest about the mechanism of this reaction?
- (b) The reaction has also been studied in crossed molecular beam experiments using mass spectrometric detection of the HOD product. Using an appropriate Newton (velocityvector) diagram for the HOD products, suggest how the product flux contour map of HOD might differ from the one shown in Figure 6.24 for the D atom products. Assume that the reactant beam velocities are the same in the two different experiments.
- (c) What spectroscopic experiments might be performed to probe the transition state region of this reaction (or a similar reaction) more directly? (It might be helpful to reread

Section 1.4.5, and also refs. [14] and [542] concerning the anion photoelectron detachment spectroscopy of  $[FH_2]^-$  and  $[H_3O]^-$ .)